Live Applications

22/01881/FUL	22/01882/LBC	22/01565/FUL	704/15610/77	22/02169/FUL	22/02510/TGA	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
HSBC, 43A High Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4DD	HSBC, 43A High Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4DD	28 Hermitage Close, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV15 5EG	124 Victoria Road, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4LG	34 Washbrook Road, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV15 6BH	4 Westgate Villas, Salop Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4QX.	Address
installation of replacement self service machinery, installation of CCTV camera and associated works	Works to facilitate the replacement of existing self service machinery, installation of CCTV camera and associated works affecting a Grade II Listed Building	Erection of 1No. bungalow and alterations to existing access	Erection of a single storey rear extension	Conversion of roof space within an existing garage to habitable room including installation of dormer roof and associated works	Fell 1no Fir within Bridgnorth Conservation Area	Application
09.05.2022	09.05.2022	09.05.2022	16.05,2022		30.05.2022	-Support
26.05.2022	26.05.2022	26.05.2022	.5	? - 24.06.2022 26.05.2022	16.05.2022	Response Due By
09.05.2022	09.05.2022	09.05.2022	16.05.2022	26.05.2022	30.05.2022	Sent to Members/ Response Date
						L Neal
Date of Comment 20 05 2022 SUPPORT No Material Objection	Date of Comment 20 05 2022 SUPPORT No Material Objection	Date of Comment 20 05 2022. SUPPORT. Shropshire Highways have no objection to proposed access amendments. A detached single storey dwelling on an existing plot containing a 2 storey, 3 bedroom dwelling. Proposal is for a detached 2 bedroom bungalow dwelling for single person occupancy for the elderly Father of the Applicant	Date of Comment 20 05 2022. SUPPORT. No material objection	Date of Comment 26 05 2022 , SUPPORT Conversion of existing Garage loft space to habital room (bedroom) with Toilet facilities to ground floor. No material objection, However the Application Form states that the existing parking arrangements are not effected when in fact one parking space (garage) is lost.		l Wellings
						J Buckley
						D Cooper

	22/01883/ADV	22/01870/FUL	22/01/41/FUL
	HSBC, 43A High Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4DD	31 Queensway Drive, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4JE	10 Cartway, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4BW
	Erect and display 1No fascia sign over an external self service machine	Erection of a single storey extension and two storey side extension.	Replacement windows and door on front elevation (Article 4 Direction)
() () () () () () () () () ()	05.05.2022	05.05.2022	05.05.2022
Due By	26.05.2022	· -	24.05.2022
Members/ Response	05.05.2022	05.05.2022	05.05.2022
r Ned			
Weilings	Date of Comment 20 05 2022 SUPPORT No Material Objection	Date of Comment 20 05 2022 SUPPORT No Material Objection	Date of Comment 20 05 2022 SUPPORT No Material Objection . Located in Conservation Area , replacement timber window and entrance door to front elevation.
J. Buckiey			
Cooper		Comment date 29/5/2022 – no public or consultee comments on file. Recommended response (neutral): "No objection to the principle of the development but we would advise that the possibility of the neighbouring property being affected by shadowing from the proposal be considered." Summary: Semi – detached house on the SW side of Queensway Drive. 2 storey full depth side extension up to existing roof line w pitched roof matching existing, full width single storey lean to extension. Site plan as supplied isn't particularly informative but it appears to be extended to close to the site boundary. Homes on Queensway Drive frequently have ground floor windows on the side elevation, this could result in overshadowing of the neighbouring property's (no 33) side window.	Comment date 29/5/2022 – no consultee or public comments on file Recommended response (neutral): No objection Summary: Terraced house, though looks like one of a pair. Proposal is to replace the front windows/door – wooden framed, seem similar in appearance to the existing. Consider it important to stay as close as possible to the existing design and the patterns used on no 9 Cartway.

22/01602/FUL	Z et
50 Whitburn Street Bridgnorth Shropshire WV16 4QT	Address
Erection of two storey rear extension with balcony	Application
19.04.2022	-Support
03.05.2022	Response Due By
19.04.2022	Sent to Members/
	L Neal
Date of Comment 02 05 2022 SUPPORT. No Listing Status . In the Town Centre Conservation Area. A well designed proposal in sympathy and aware of the surroundings and locale.	I Wellings
	J Buckley
Comment date 16/5/2022 – consultee comments from SC Archaeology & Conservation seen. Recommended response (neutral): "no objection, subject to an appropriate Heritage Impact Assessment being carried out." Overview: 19C terraced cottage at the end of Whitburn St (adjoining 1 Salop St) – Conservation area, property is considered a non-designated heritage asset. Long rear garden fronting Sainsbury's car park. Proposal is a 2 storey pitched roof extension, with 1st floor set back and balcony over the projecting ground floor. Brick and title, alluminium framed doors! windows. Roof level would be lower than main building, so remaining subservient. Conservation officer no objection in principle, but not keen on balcony. HIA required. 1 Salop Street is set further back from the road and the building line extends beyond that of 50 Whitburn Street. 49 Whitburn St already has a 2 storey extension to the rear so there is a local precedent. Whilst I note the conservation officer's comments about the balcony/ patio doors it is not realistic to forma view without further detail of the rear aspects in this area (not readily visible).	D Cooper

	22/01504/FUL
Addies	24 Ludlow Road Bridgnorth Shropshire WV16 5AG
Application	Erection of 5no. detached dwellings following demolition of existing residential property and industrial building
-support	19.04.2022
Due By	02.05.2022
Members/ Response Date	19.04.2022
LNear	
weilings	Date of Comment 02 05 2022 SUPPORT Demolition of existing dwelling and industrial unit and the erection of 5no. executive style detached dwellings and ancillory site and tree works,
J BUCKIEY	2
D Cooper	Comment date 15/5/2022. Consultee comments seen from trees, ecology, regulatory services, SUDS and Highways. 3 public comments. Recommended response (neutral): "We have no objection to redevelopment of the brownfield site for housing but note that further arboricultural assessment has been requested. Additionally clarification of the future management arrangements for the part of the site which is not included in the development proposal would be helpful. Appropriate landscaping conditions required." Overview: Proposed demolition of former industrial/ commercial premises (Bridgnorth Stamp Company) and attached house, erection of 5 detached homes (4/5 beds). Note that the development only covers part of the site and (as noted in 1 public comment) the remainder of the site may have some ecological value. SC Trees have stated that further work on the arboricultural impact is needed and the proposal appears to require the loss of some tres which they would normally seek to retain. Which they would normally seek to retain which they would normally seek to retain an appear of the site not included in the development but would support seeking clarify over future management of the part of the site not included in the development proposal.

Applications awaiting decisions

22/02229/CPE	22/01799/CPL	22/01880/FUL	ZZ/02019/TCA	RATIO I LOUIS	22042704 00
3 Bowers Close, Bridgmorth, Shropshire, WV16 4PR	3 Cantern Brook Hollow Brdgnorth Shropshire WV16 4SE	Land And Equestian Buildings South Of A442. Quafford, Bridgmorth, Shropshire	4 Innago Gardene, Bridgourth, Shoppahire, WV16 4HW	47A Carriway Bridgnorth Shropahre WV16 48G	
Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for an external single storey rear extension	Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for the proposed replacement of conservatory with a single storey extension	Application under 73A Support of the Town and Country Planning Act 1900 for the change of use from accultural to equestran (Sui Generie)	To remose 1no. Confer wellin Bridgnorth Innage Gardens Consensation Area	rouns to menute the frieldlight of freplacement front door	
For information only	This is For information Only, no comments are required.	Support	Tribestral find it unlikely that the Date of Comment 17 705 to be at particular risk of failing. 2022 of confliction report should be and the cot plate appears sold confliction report should be conflicted by the confliction report should be conflicted by the confliction report should be another than the confliction report of the confliction report of the confliction report of the confliction report to seem a confliction report to validate the claim of being unastar, it would prefer it come replanting were compensation, this could be a hedge against the femce line.	тором	
For information only	This is For information Only, no comments are required.	date of comment 02 05 2022 REPRESENTÀ INDN. works to provide equestain facilities carried out before a 'change of use.' application application creen bel. Retrospective permission now applied for.	Date of Comment 17 05 2022 Representation. Condition report around be provided by but a Surveyora comments reported stability and Whit possible damage valid occur to adjacent buildings if the tree feit.	Late of Comment, 2 to Juzz SUPPORT Libed Property Consensation Area Consensation Area No material objection.	
For information only	This is For Information Only, no comments are required.				
For information only	This is For information Only, no comments are required.	Comment date 16/5/2022 - 1 public comment arean (support). Recommended responses (Neutrall): No objection. Recommended responses (Neutrall): No objection. Coerview: Retrospective application - changing of use tegan in Lan 2020. Deviolopment conside of fencing and field shelters on a fencing of the A422 and purious Danselford Grappe applicultural florame. Consider this is a forean formed formigetible use. About of the road fromtage is screened by road fromtage is screened by for the A422, do not field this of the A422, do not field this of the A422, do not field this this scale.	Comment date 19/5/2022. No public or consultate comments on the public or consultate comments on the public or consultate comments on the proposal but would prefer to see a condition report on the tree. Appleation is by a neighbour, allates concerned about the risk of damage to their property in the wond of the tree failing and that the occupant of 4 hange darken is the public or port or indication that the occupant of 4 hange for the public of the condition report or indication that the tree is at risk, would prefer to retain. However, realistically the rice is very close to the boundary of the conservation area and is unlikely to ment a TPO.	Comment data 29/5/2022 – no consultee or public comments on the flat of the fl	
For information only	This is For information Only, no comments are required.				
For Information only	This is For information Only, no comments are required.	16.05.2022 Support, I can see no reason not to see no reason not to see this retrospective development, given the scal demand for the same.	16.05.2022 Representation, as Payer sendation, as out office a condition report relating to the tree should be provided.		C stepped down from committee in July 2021)
For Information only	n This is For Information Only, no comments are required.	9			Responses
For information only		Support	Representation: We note the proposal but would prefer to see a condition report on the tree.	Support	
For information only		20.05.2022	23.05.2022	90.05.2022	Shrop Council

	Holel 35 Solop building and Street Bridgnorth outbuildings to form Stropehire WV18 associated works to holide removal for bridge removal for flux to near elevation flux to near elevation.	2001440/10A 16 West Castle 16 West Castle Shorpshire, W748 and 1no Western 4AB Red Ceder (13) white would be a according to the first be a control of the first be a control of the first birds would be a according to the first birds would be a according to the first birds would be a control of the	Schright Charles (Angel Charles) (Angel Charle
		Representation: Generally I would not export it is emmoval of trees in a conservation area. I would not export it be emissed of trees where the reason given is clamage as a force, export of the easily and cheaping the emissed to accomplate the export of the easily and cheaping the easily and cheaping the not been considered which may maintain the trees and meet the needs of the owner. However the trees are not native or of particular manifely without and so I would not move be object in this would not have been considered which may be the manifely and the becamed out until the whiter and the interest of datumbing needing bride has passed, also Bats and known to roast in the area and trees should be checked. Bats further increase the need to fell in the withing.	30.04.2022 Support. The oxtension of the car park AAII is mruch needed and the plans are bujical and make sense.
	SUPPORT; Convenient to the Stating Support of the Stating Statis but within the Town is also stating Statis but within the Town Convenient of the Statis of	Date of Comment 122 05 2022. REPRESENTATIONS Abstantials Mature Trees within the Town conservation Area, flast have been allowed to grow and overpower their focation. Would much prefer if poping could be carried out and the trees relation. A tree management programme should be instigated.	Date of Comment 12 04-22. SUPPORT Care Place and traffic of industrian to Add Sorot dates. No material objection providing the proposals comply with the relevant section of Shropshire Council Local Core Polities. Provides much Core Polities. Provides much to relevable and official and official care polities. To relevable to the providing the process to relevable to the provides much double parking lessues.
		Comment date: 5/5/2022 – no public or consultee comments on file Suggested response (restire); we would prifer it breas to be retained and pruned if fessible. Conview. Life death given, reason for tranoving the frees is appreciably damage risk to fence. However, 2 of the trees may be once which were previously approved for cutting back under 17/01/44/67/CA, it is unlikely the frees would met at 1700 on suggestions they be cut back rather than removed would be purely representations by neture.	Comment date 3/5/2022 — no public comments on file, consultee comment from Highways eeen. Recommended response (neutral): No objection. Overlees, Proposal is to increase the number of spaceas in the main car park from 83 to 94 — a purking survey/rise been carried out and dentified that on occasions the number of visibles on after success capacity of both file main care park and a graveled area used as an information occupancy. The proposal would increase capacity to more than the currently dentified maximum occupancy. The proposal would increase capacity to more than the currently dentified maximum occupancy. The proposal whose incorporating than which our ournetity a highway verge into the car park, this will need to be acquired from Shropatire Council. If a grass between the footpath 2 matres wide would be provided on the new highway verge, with a grass area between the footpath and the 4-timph section of Stourbridge Road A-458. Some loss of
C stepped down from Responses committee in July 2021)			
	support because it seeks to increase the anount of homes in the town for which there is demand and we can see no overfilling reason why its current use should remain as it is	Representation: We would prefer 1 the tree to be relained and pruned if feasible.	No Objection 1
Shrop Council		13/05/2022	11/05/2022

22/01009/EIII		
Okh rov Granda	Odbury Bridgmorth Shropshire WVV6 5LW	Pomer Bragnorth District Council, Westgate, Eldgnorth, Shropehire, WV16 SAA.
Works to include the	excition of administration of the state of t	Demoition of adeling vacant office building vacant office building vacant office who provide a residential of a charme of 30 dwellings, falling of trees, highway works, landscaping and other associabled works.
	and	Support - assuming the amount of green space has been met on on the space of the been met on the space of the
	Date of Comment 17 04 2022 Support. No Marial Objection to Extensive allowations / To Interfore Extensive allowations / To Interfore Extensive allowations / To Interfore Extensive allowation that / To Interfore the providing that : Proof sam be provided that reducing the Carr Park by 18 agrees is adequate for onable printing at tway periods and will not result in whiches being parked in the "Industrialisation" of a part of the after in a rural area which along parties installations create. Extensive installations create. Extensive should be contillored . CPRE Guidelines must be applied.	Dalls of Comment 22 04 2022 OBJECT I have suddled slig of the revised documentation. The Report from the Independent traffic consultant is a very comprehensive document and seeks to solve the issues that have been mased in provious applications. However IMO there is a still as fundamental problem with the parking and access to properties froming Ludlow Read. The revised proposal does not IMO remove the likely houd of vehicles exiting these properties in revise gate ronto a busy traff no and. The parking arrangements are such that it relies on residents abiding by the proposed parking is properly has visitors then the ability to turn a vehicle around and exit onto Ludlow Rd Is negated.
		V 4
	Comment date 2/5/2022 - consultes comments on the from Conservation, SUDS, Archaeology, Recommended comment (antally) No objection subject to confirmation of the adequacy of critical packing provision and boundary reatments in the area of the PV array, also packing provision and boundary reatments in the area of the PV array. Over-flows Sile is country adds, measily within Colbury Conservation Area. Proposal could potentially be supported under pulsey SCS (Countryade & Green Belt) as "The mention and appropriate expansion of an editing established business, unless relocation to a subsidier and appropriate expansion of the editing to be a non-designated heritage asset and has requested at leftings (impost Assessment— to be provided. Potential concerns would be affected on the editing (impost assessment— to be provided. Potential concerns would be affected on the editing of the 19th building, thewever, at AIV, was not required for the previous series of extensions of the 20th 271-IFU,) and the Conservation Officer commended on the provious application that II is considered that overall the advancion are considered acceptable, most will be of single stomy and will be every from the main historic building and not highly visible from the well-to-conservation area. New single storry extension, arities to existing, to provide additional dementia beds. Revemped enterince areas and corridor for operational reasons.	Comment date 2/5/20/22 — 5 public comments, consultee comments from SC Conservation, Ecoloby, Replaisory Services, Affordable Housing, SUDS, Archaeology, Wealb Mangement, Ecoloby, Replaisory Services, Affordable Housing, SUDS, Archaeology, Wealb Mangement, Ecoloby, Replaisory Services, Affordable Housing, SUDS, Archaeology, Wealb Mangement, Committee, Committee, and Services, Subservices, Sub
C stepped down from committee in July 2021)		
Responses		
Proposed Response	Support, subject to a satisfactory traffic andy-bailty undertaken with regards to the provision of case partition and provision of case partition provision is case partition provision is sufficient number 1901 738/FLU, permission was grantled for 67 car partition papers. The current supplication alseas a reduction from 55 to 36 spaces). Birdigate a reduction from the partition of the sufficient partition of the partition of the partition of solar partition. The partition of solar partition is also in the spining partition of solar partition to solar in the sale is in keeping with the surrounding area.	We note that the applicant's revised proposals go some way to address our concerna over the provious eithern about whiches reventing into or off Ludiow, Potential the current achieves or creates some potential for this problem to arise the to the lack of visitor perking and potential for homeowners to have incre then 2 exhibes for their property. We feel that a prohibes for their property we feel that a prohibes for their property. We feel that a prohibes for their property. We feel that a prohibes for their proving we have and we state the consideration of parting on the adjacent parts of Ludiow Road and "We state two feel that a solution which provides whiches access to the near of the proportes froming Ludiow Road would be preferable, and that the arrangements for wallor parking should be clarified.
Shrop Council	03/05/2022	03/05/2022

Closed applications

22/01641/FUL	TOTT HATTATE	22/,04420/FUL
98 Birchlands, Bridgnorth	Shropshire, WV15 SED,	5 Castle Terrace Bridgnorth Shropshire WV16 4AH
Application Erection of single storey	Shropshire, WV15 SED, extension of single storey extension following removal of conservatory	Installation of 5No. replacement windows to front elevation
r Neal		
16.05.2022 Support the	16.05.2022 Support, the proposed extension will provide an improved standard of living accommodation & it does not appear to be of harm to any neighbouring properties.	30.04.2022 Object due to the proposed use of UPVC. The windows should be replaced with timber sash.
I Wellings	Date of Comment 02 05 2022. SUPPORT. No Material Objection. Demolition of Conservatory and erection of single storey extension. Possible contruction problems and future maintenance issues due to proximity of neighbouring existing extension.	Date of Comment 12 04 22, OBJECT Property located in Town Centre Conservation Area. To comply with Shropshire Councils Planning Policy regarding replacement windows to Properties within a Conservation Area. Replace like for like applies, therefore Upvc window units unacceptable and replacement Timber units should be specified.
J Buckley		os
D Cooper	Comment date 16/5/2022 - no public or consultee comments on system. Recommended response (neutral): "No objection". Overview: Semi-detached house 2 storey house with a shared car port to the side (not shown on floorplan). Proposal is to remove an existing partwidth conservatory and build a rear flat roade extension with rear patio doors/ windows and roof lantern. Site appears adequate, no obvious privacy implications.	Comment date 4/5/2022 – SC Conservation objects, Object: In line with local scornents. Recommended response (objection): "In line with local precedents, we do not feel that uPVC is an appropriate haracter." Ouroritew. Replacement windows – building believed to be early 19C (non-designated heritage asset) in Bridghorth Conservation Area. Current windows are not original and of an incongruous style. No objection to the proposed window pattern, but uPVC is proposed and is not considered appropriate for heritage assets.
Proposed Response		precedents, we do not feel that uPVC is an appropriate material for buildings of this age and character.
	Grant Permission	Refuse