

APPENDIX B

	Address	Application	Dated	Response Due By	Sent to Members/ Response Date	DC Response	CD Response	KS Response	GD Response	SB Response	Other Councillor Responses	Proposed Response	Uploaded to Shrop Council	Shropshire Council's Decision
21/00799/FUL	8 Oldbury Wells, Bridgnorth, WV16 5JE	Installation of hardwood doors to front elevation	2.3.21	23.3.21	3.3.21									
21/00819/FUL	3 Goodwood Avenue, Bridgnorth, WV15 5BD	Erection of a single storey side extension following demolition of existing garage	2.3.21	23.3.21	3.3.21									
21/00744/FUL	Meadow Croft, Danesford, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV15 6QD	Erection of a single storey rear extension following demolition of existing orangery	25.2.21	18.3.21	1.3.21									
21/00644/FUL	The Woodberry Inn, 70 Victoria Road, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4LF	Erection of an oak framed gazebo	16.2.21	9.3.21	17.2.21	<p>Proposal is for a substantial outbuilding (8m by 4m) in the garden of the Woodberry Inn. The 2 faces of the building pointing away from the public house (and facing nearby residential properties) would be boarded, the ones pointing towards the public house and existing beer garden would be open. Satellite imagery and Google Streetview from July 2018 suggests that the garden area is already used for outdoor seating. Operating hours are stated to be up to 23:00 daily – these are presumably existing hours. However, having an outdoor shelter may increase usage and noise potential. No public or consultee comments as at 1/3/2021.</p> <p>Assessment: whilst I have no issue with the structure, there is a potential for increased noise. In particular, I would be concerned about whether the gazebo could be used for outdoor music. The premises licence details do not seem to be publicly viewable, so it is not possible to identify whether the garden qualifies as a beer garden (which may carry with it an ability to hold outdoor music events).</p> <p>Recommendation: No objection in principle to the structure but recommend that consideration be given to a condition restricting the use for outdoor music.</p>		A good addition to a popular public house, which will doubtless be well used, once the pubs are allowed to operate again. The selected proposed materials are of high quality and well suited to the area. Fully support. As at 2/3/21, having now read David's comments, I agree that music should be limited to a certain decibel level, within the outbuilding.				No objection in principle to the structure but recommend that consideration be given to a condition restricting the use for outdoor music.	2.3.21	
21/00440/FUL	8 Waterloo Terrace	Application under Section 73A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 for the change of use of basement, 1st and 2nd floors from retail (Use Class E) to residential (Use Class C3) to form a single dwelling with new entrance to replace existing shop window on Postern Gate	9.2.21	2.3.21	15.2.21	<p>Proposed change of use but also restore interior period features. Replace modern shopfront with more traditional design, replace large glass window on side elevation (Postern Gate) with new panelled door and brick infill (to be limewashed). Suggested that the existing building is timber framed but had a later frontage added. Mention is made of replacing a rooflight on the rear elevation in the cancelled Design & Access Statement, but no drawings have been supplied and this appears to have been deleted from the updated Design & Access Statement.</p> <p>Assessment: consider that the new shopfront and entrance door on the side elevation would both represent improvements to the property.</p> <p>Recommendation: no objection</p>		A fairly extensive project, although the applicant should be applauded for wanting to invest to restore this building as shown in the application. However, the proposal will add further strain to the lack of overnight and available parking within the vicinity, given the proposed change of use from retail to residential, involving the creation of a two bedroomed town house. As at 2/3/21, having now read David's comments, he has not raised any concern about parking, so I am assuming that his greater knowledge of the local area surpasses my own and there is sufficient parking locally to cope. Hence, I Support the application overall.				No objection	2.3.21	

APPENDIX B

21/00441/LBC	8 Waterloo Terrace	Change of use of basement, 1st and 2nd floors from retail (Use Class E) to residential (Use Class C3) to form a single dwelling with new entrance to replace existing shop window on Postern Gate	9.2.21	2.3.21	15.2.21	Proposed change of use but also restore interior period features. Replace modern shopfront with more traditional design, replace large glass window on side elevation (Postern Gate) with new panelled door and brick infill (to be limewashed). Suggested that the existing building is timber framed but had a later frontage added. Mention is made of replacing a rooflight on the rear elevation in the cancelled Design & Access Statement, but no drawings have been supplied and this appears to have been deleted from the updated Design & Access Statement. Assessment: consider that the new shopfront and entrance door on the side elevation would both represent improvements to the property. Recommendation: no objection	A fairly extensive project, although the applicant should be applauded for wanting to invest to restore this building as shown in the application. However, the proposal will add further strain to the lack of overnight and available parking within the vicinity, given the proposed change of use from retail to residential, involving the creation of a two bedroomed town house. As at 2/3/21, having now read David's comments, he has not raised any concern about parking, so I am assuming that his greater knowledge of the local area surpasses my own and there is sufficient parking locally to cope. Hence, I Support the application overall.				No objection	2.3.21	
21/00357/FUL	12 West Castle Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4AB	Erection of timber screen fencing on existing boundary wall to a total height of 2.1m, and garden shed to rear (part-retrospective).	3.2.21	25.2.21	8.2.21	See 21/00358/LBC	No objection.				No objection	17.2.21	
21/00358/LBC	12 West Castle Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4AB	Erection of timber screen fencing on existing boundary wall to a total height of 2.1m, and garden shed to rear affecting a Grade II Listed Building (part-retrospective)	3.2.21	25.2.21	8.2.21	Building currently undergoing division into 2 dwellings under permission 20/03521/FUL and is changing from office to residential, so the rear area will become domestic garden. Existing wall heights would seem low for privacy. Southern boundary fronts side access to the Castle Hall and access to 14/15 West Castle St, N faces garden of Old Castle PH. Recommendation: no objection	No objection.				No objection	17.2.21	
21/00276/FUL	1 Dingle View, Bridgnorth, WV16 4UL	Erection of a single storey rear extension following demolition of conservatory and conversion of rear part of garage to provide additional living accommodation	20.1.21	18.2.21	2.2.21	Straightforward extension and garage conversion, effectively very little change in footprint. Site appears adequately sized and shouldn't be overlooking/ privacy issues due to design and layout. Recommendation: no objection	My only concern is the loss of a garage & the possible strain on parking locally,	no objection	Having looked at this application I am happy to support.		No objection	17.2.21	Grant permission - 2.3.21
21/00104/FUL	Proposed Dwelling At, Orchard House, Love Lane, Bridgnorth, Shropshire	Erection of one dwelling comprising of garage at ground floor level and two bedroom accommodation above	19.1.21	9.2.21	19.1.21	Triangular plot between Love Lane and Leisure Centre car park. Proposal has garage area under and a 2 bedroomed house with all the living accommodation on the 1st floor. Site is said to be 291.8 sq. m., building footprint approx. 107.3 sq.m. 1 neighbour objection – vehicle access onto Love Lane, which is quite narrow at this point. The site itself is not in the Bridgnorth Conservation Area – the boundary runs along Love Lane. Conservation officer objects to the potential impact on the character and appearance of the adjacent Conservation Area – suggests site is cramped and detracts from the open character of the surrounding area. Recommendation: recommend refusal as the proposal appears to overdevelop the site and be detrimental to the character of the area.	This application seems to constitute over development, with the proposed new dwelling being shoe horned into a triangular shaped section of land. Object.	This development initially looks tight but similar in size and garden area to surrounding houses on Love Lane. Appears only access to rear is through house. Neutral response.			Recommend refusal as the proposal appears to overdevelop the site and be detrimental to the character of the area.	9.2.21	
21/00067/FUL	5 Wells Close, Bridgnorth, WV16 5JQ	Erection of single storey detached annexe building	7.1.21	8.2.21	19.1.21	Site is at the end of Wells Close with no closely adjoining properties (it does adjoin Highlands Road but is at a lower level). Proposed 1 bedroom annexe with sitting room and bathroom. Materials in keeping with the existing. Site appears adequate in size to accommodate the annexe and retain residential amenity. Recommendation: No objection	Support, complements the existing dwelling, no overlooking issues.	Neutral response			No objection	9.2.21	Grant permission - 19.2.21
21/00062/FUL	25A St Leonards Close, Bridgnorth, WV16 4EJ	Change from an office building to a dwellinghouse	19.1.21	9.2.21	19.1.21	Although the building was erected as an office it is of a domestic scale. Not sure why this requires a planning application as would ostensibly be permitted development unless over 150 sq. m. (but prior approval would be required). The only external alteration proposed appears to be conversion of part of the parking area to a garden. Recommendation: No objection.	No objection.	no objection			No objection	9.2.21	Grant permission - 23.2.21
20/03702/FUL	18 Kidderminster Road, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV15 6BX,	Amendment - Erection of single storey extension to rear	15.9.20	26.1.21	19.1.21	We've previously commented no objection to the original plans in October 2020, the revised plans reduce the size of the extension.	I think the proposals enhance this property and there's no overlooking issues, support.	support			No comment	25.1.21	Grant permission - 28.1.21

APPENDIX B

21/00124/TPO	Westgate Lawns, Salop Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4QY	Prune/Crown Reduce to approx 3ft 3no Lime trees protected by Shropshire Council (Westgate Lawns, Salop Street, Bridgnorth) TPO 2011	14.1.21	4.2.21	19.1.21	3 trees facing Salop St. Details of the work a bit vague. As poplars they will require regular maintenance. Seem to be the same trees as the ones where work was permitted under 11/05187/TPO and 16/00556/TPO Recommendation: No objection		No comment.				No comment		
20/05131/FUL	43 Conduit Lane, Bridgnorth, WV16 5BX	Erection of a replacement dwelling following demolition of existing bungalow	11.1.2021	1.2.2021	11.1.2021	The proposal is described on the application form as "Demolition to damp course of existing bungalow and erection of two storey dwelling on existing footprint with single storey front porch and infill extension to rear." The existing bungalow is a dormer with a roof (ridge) height of approx. 7.4m – the proposed house would have a ridge height of approx. 8.35m. The "extensions" are very small. Most of the homes on this part of Conduit Lane already are 2 storey. The design does introduce a 1st floor window on the SW elevation, which could potentially have a privacy impact on 45 Conduit Lane as it may be opposite a window on the side of that property – this window services an ensuite shower room/ wc and would presumably be obscure glazed. Recommendation: no objection, it should be clarified whether it is intended that the first floor window on the SW elevation is to be obscure glazed.		Support, having visited site there appears to be no overlooking issues and plenty of on site parking.				No objection. It should be clarified whether it is intended that the first floor window on the SW elevation is to be obscure glazed.	2.2.21	Grant permission - 24.2.21
20/05284/FUL	22 Hillside Avenue, Bridgnorth, WV15 6BT	Application under Section 73A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 for a timber decking area to the frontage	6.1.2021	6.2.2021	8.1.2021	Retrospective application for timber decking to the front of the property. The work was carried out during September 2020. Photographs supplied indicate that the decking is in front of a set of patio doors, and that the ground is steeply sloping downward from the front of the building. The decking thus has quite a high area of timber boarding to the front and there appears to be an awning in front of the patio doors supported on posts. I can find no precedents for such a structure on front elevations in the vicinity of the property and it seems to me to be out of character for the area. Recommendation: Recommend refusal as the structure proposed has an adverse impact on the street scene.		I agree with the comments made by David, this proposal diminishes the street scene, refuse.			EM - A retrospective application for work done in front of the building line for housing. This work includes wooden posts, two being high enough to enable an awning (sun canopy) to cover the decking area. The posts would permit trellis to be attached to the front of the decking patio. Trellis screening to a smallish height has already been incorporated at the sides, but it would be easy to increase to a higher height at any time in the future to intensify privacy.	Recommend refusal as the structure proposed has an adverse impact on the street scene.	9.2.21	Refuse 10.2.21
20/05262/FUL	Comer Wood Quatt Bridgnorth Shropshire	Extension and alterations to existing car park, including retention of alterations to former overflow area and installation of ticket machine	17.12.2020	28.1.2021	24.12.2020	It's not clear whether the site of the proposed extension is within Bridgnorth Town or Quatt Malvern parish. The application is to support recreational use within the Green Belt, and involves removal of conifer plantation which is said to be of low ecological value. I have no issues. Recommendation: No objection.		I fully support this application, which is justified given the need for greater access to our countryside.			EM - Although in Quatt, this Application affects residents in Bridgnorth (Hollins Park) rather than residents in Quatt. The parish boundary runs along the entrance road leading to the existing car park. In the recent winter months the existing car park and overflow car park have been more or less full on normal week days when people have been at work and schools open. There is obviously a need to provide additional parking for cars. The Application is good and sound, with detailed information on the likely environment impact. I support.	Support	25.1.21	

APPENDIX B

20/02056/TEL	Former Council Offices Westgate Bridgnorth Shropshire	Demolition of existing buildings; erection of mixed residential scheme of 31 dwellings; highway works; landscaping scheme to include felling of trees; all associated works	17.12.2020	7.1.2021	17.12.2020	<p>Assessment</p> <p>The concerns over open space previously expressed remain, with the publicly available open space still only roughly half of that required by policy MD2. Whilst the site layout now suggests that the homes along the Ludlow Road frontage will now only have 8 direct accesses onto Ludlow Road, rather than the previous 13, it still seems likely that vehicles would not be able to turn within these property frontages and ensure that they emerge onto Ludlow Road in a forwards direction. Notwithstanding that these homes appear to be intended to have Grasscrete driveways, the site layout shows these areas have trees placed in such a way that turning a vehicle may be difficult. I do not consider this acceptable. I have a further concern that 2 of the properties on the Ludlow Road frontage (units 27 & 28, house types D1 & D2) are 3 storey. Overall, I feel that the amended plans are an improvement but still not adequate to address the concerns the Town Council has previously expressed.</p> <p>Recommendation</p> <p>That the Town Council objects to the amended plans on the grounds that it is an over-development of the site (insufficient public open space for compliance with policy MD2) and that it still does not address our concerns that there are a large number of access points directly onto Ludlow Road and that vehicles joining Ludlow Road from those properties may end up reversing out of their driveways.</p>	<p>The revised application does not seem to address any of our objections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Traffic - same number of houses and same access issues. 2. Too dense - same number of houses on same plot. 3. Energy saving - I can't find any proposals. <p>It looks as though we resubmit our previous comments.</p>	I agree with Clive's comments. We should object (strongly) again as previously.			RW - In my view we should object to this latest proposal as it has not addressed the concerns previously expressed by Council. We still have several houses with parking accessed direct on to Ludlow Road, the development is considerably over-developed and appears to have little architectural merit (just a lot of little boxes!)	Object - That the Town Council objects to the amended plans on the grounds that it is an over-development of the site (insufficient public open space for compliance with policy MD2) and that it still does not address our concerns that there are a large number of access points directly onto Ludlow Road and that vehicles joining Ludlow Road from those properties may end up reversing out of their driveways.	7.1.2021	Refuse - 20.1.21
20/05190/TEL	Land At , Bridgnorth Endowed School, North Gate, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4ER	Application for Mast and associated supporting apparatus	11.12.2020	5.1.2021	18.12.2020	<p>Application is for a 25m high telecoms mast, to be situated at the edge of the sports ground off Love Lane. The existing 15 m high mast would be removed. The applicant has assessed other potential sites and they are generally not suitable. The proposed site is close to the woodland which runs alongside Stanley Lane, which is said to consist of trees 15m to 24m high. Although the site itself is not in a conservation area, the Conservation Officer has requested a Heritage Impact Assessment on the neighbouring Bridgnorth and Innage Gardens conservation areas. The County Arboriculturalist has been consulted, but there is no response on the planning system as at 5/1/2021. A document on the planning system is labelled "Proposed site elevation" but appears to be a site plan rather than a drawing of what the mast would look like.</p> <p>Assessment: this is a better location than the existing mast. Hopefully it would be inconspicuous but it would be helpful to see potential views. I understand the trees along Stanley Lane are subject to a TPO and feel we need reassurance that we won't see requests to reduce their height in the future.</p> <p>Recommendation: no objection in principle, however we would like to see illustrations of the potential visual impact and seek reassurance that the location would remain viable if the nearby trees were to grow taller.</p>	Neutral, no objections	This application makes logical sense, given it makes better use of the sports grounds. Support.			No objection in principle, however we would like to see illustrations of the potential visual impact and seek reassurance that the location would remain viable if the nearby trees were to grow taller	7.1.2021		
20/05105/ADV	25 High Street, Bridgnorth, WV16 4DB	Erect and display 1no fascia sign and 1no projection sign on shop front both non illuminated	7.12.2020	4.1.2021	18.12.2020	<p>Straightforward projecting sign and non-illuminated fascia sign – both blue painted background, yellow lettering. Would prefer a less garish colour combination in this location, but given the Greggs signage next door feel it would be difficult to insist on departing from the corporate colours in this location. Recommend: no objection.</p>	This was Clintons cards. The frontage has already been redecorated, resulting in what is shown as 'existing'. I don't think there was an application to do this to a listed building? However, the result is acceptable and fits in with Greggs next door. I'd prefer less garish signage (does SC still have guidance for Bridgnorth?) but it is no worse than Greggs.	Gosh, what a dreadful colour, but can we object on the basis that the colour of the writing needs to be more in keeping with the listed status of the building ?			No objection	7.1.2021		
20/05142/HHE	17 Sabrina Road, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV15 6DQ	Erection of a single storey rear extension to an attached dwelling, dimensions 4.500 metres beyond rear wall, 2.895 metres maximum height, 2.630 metres high to eaves	8.12.2020	8.1.2021	14.12.2020	<p>No plans/ drawings of the proposed extension are available. The online map on the planning system indicates that the length of the rear garden is 40 feet (approx. 12 metres) so this would appear to be adequate to accommodate an extension of these dimensions. Recommendation: no comment</p>	Application to see if proposal is within permitted development limits. Noted.	No comment.			No comment	7.1.2021		

APPENDIX B

20/05031/VAR	Bridgnorth Rugby Club, Rugby Pitch And Pavillion, Bandon Lane, Bridgnorth, Shropshire	Variation of condition no.2 (time constraints) pursuant of 19/00700/FUL to allow for the approved marquee to be erected throughout the calendar year	8.12.2020	8.1.2021	8.12.2020	Application is to retain the structure on site year-round, and is supported by statements to the effect that the use of the facility has enabled various sports/exercise based activities to be carried out in a socially distanced manner during the Coronavirus pandemic. The original permission was temporary for 5 years, expiring on 31/10/2024. The application does not seek to place a time limit on the proposed extension to year round use, so it is assumed it is intended to apply until 31/10/2024. The original permission contained a condition (2) requiring removal of the marquee during the winter months, the reason for which was given as being that the structure was not considered a suitable form of permanent development. That consideration would appear to remain valid. The original permission contained conditions to protect residential amenity (hours, noise levels etc.). Amendment of these conditions is not being sought and they would thus continue to apply. The original permission included a condition requiring submission and approval of a Flood Evacuation Management Plan in view of the site's location in Flood Zone 3. There is no information about what this contains viewable on the planning system, however the flood risk would be greater over the Winter months. Assessment – while the desirability of such a facility during the Coronavirus pandemic is understood, it is not particularly obvious why such a location for the erection of a marquee is appropriate in preference to other locations which might be less at risk of flooding and less harmful to the Green Belt. It could presumably be removed and erected somewhere else if a suitable site were available. Recommendation – that the variation be granted until 16th March 2021.	I support the application as written. That is, the marquee will host activities of the type listed and which have given support. However, the current permission allows for the hosting of events, such as balls, wedding, anniversaries etc. My impression is that the club is not seeking to hold such events in the period in which the marquee would have been taken down. I can't see it wanting to do so during cold winter periods in any case. It would be helpful if this could be clarified.	Support, but then I'm bound to give I'm the applicant.	Support- this is extension of previous 19/00700/FUL application. All previous conditions should still apply, and application complies with National Planning Framework paragraph 38, especially after Covid-19. Flood risk is covered in previous permission.	RW - support application.	Support – Bridgnorth Town Council supports the application to remove the condition in light of the ongoing pandemic	7.1.2021	Grant permission - 12.1.21
20/04959/FUL	St Nicholas Church, Oldbury Road, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 5EZ	Erection of a single storey extension to provide accessible wc	4.12.2020	25.12.2020	8.12.2020	Small lean-to extension in an angle between 2 parts of the building – inconspicuous location, matching materials to be used. Recommend no objection.	Neutral, no objections	Support, given it's needed and there's minimal impact on the listed building.		No objection	23.12.2020	Grant permission - 7.1.2021	
20/04817/FUL	1 Greenfields Drive, Bridgnorth, WV16 4JJ	Erection of a front porch and two storey side extension.	30.11.2020	29.12.2020	3.12.2020	Building is effectively a bungalow with 2 bedrooms in the roof space – proposal is to build a 2 storey extension to enable 2 further bedrooms to be created on 1st floor, bathroom to be moved from ground floor to 1st, and existing GF rooms to be expanded. The building lies to the West (and slightly to the N) of the neighbouring property at 3 Greenfields Drive and the extension would abut the neighbouring property's garage. Checking aerial photos/ Google streetview the neighbouring property does not appear to have any windows on its W elevation, so presumably no loss of light or privacy impacts. Recommend no objection.	Floor plans not available for viewing. Extension to boundary line - to be resolved with neighbour. No windows in extension overlooking neighbour's property. No objections, neutral.	No objection, given no overlooking issues.	Neutral	No objection	23.12.2020		
20/04773/FUL	Harpford Mill Farm, Ludlow Road, Harpswood, Bridgnorth	Application under Section 73A of the Town and Planning Act 1990 for the retrospective erection of conservatory to rear elevation.	30.11.2020	29.12.2020	3.12.2020	Planning permission for a conservatory was granted in 2013 (13/02122/FUL) and subsequently modified. Originally the conservatory was going to be in powder coated Aluminium. This application seems to seek retrospective approval for different materials (powder coated Aluminium doors with Oak frame) as built. Recommend no objection.	No objections to the conservatory. Drawings submitted not at all clear as to what is covered. They show 'new granny accommodation'. I can't find an earlier application for this for the property. But this application only explicitly covers the conservatory. Possibly worth a chat to the planning officer.	Support - thoughtful extension using existing features and improving view of workshop from road.		No objection	23.12.2020	Grant permission - 25.1.21	
20/04696/FUL	Villa Vella		26.11.2020	17.12.2020	27.11.2020	We commented on an application for extensions at this property in July (neutral) and it was granted (20/02313/FUL). The previous scheme had flat roofed extensions both sides of the main building. The current scheme features a 2 storey extension at the western face with pitched roof at right angles to that of the main building. The single storey extension on the N elevation now has a pitched roof and the extension on the S face has been removed save for an orangery on the EW corner. The roof of the main part of the building was previously going to be single span pitched with a glazed area over a stairwell. The main (E) part of the building now has a double pitched roof (with valley) and a roof light over a relocated staircase. On the E elevation, which is single storey and faces woodland, there are areas of full-height glazing. Glazed area on the W elevation appears to be similar to the previous application but differently spaced. The main potential privacy implication with the previous application was windows on the N elevation, but we concluded this was unlikely to be an issue due to intervening vegetation and supportive comments from the occupants of the neighbouring property. Most windows on this elevation in the new proposal are specified as obscure glazed. A heritage impact assessment was submitted in respect of the previous application at a late stage, the final approval had conditions relating to materials, recording of the existing building (treated as a non-designated heritage asset) and planning. Recommendation: No objection, subject to the conditions as applied to 20/02313/FUL being retained.	The proposal extends the property backwards, away from the valley, and cleans up the overall appearance. I prefer it to a proposals making additions on each side. Personally, I'm not overly concerned about a move 'modern' appearances such as this.	This is a huge project, which will transform the property, although I see no particular planning issues. I am surprised that anyone would want to invest so much given the location, but that's a personal view. No objection.	Neutral response. Modernises existing footprint /house.	No objection, subject to the conditions as applied to 20/02313/FUL being retained.	15.12.2020	Withdrawn	
20/04617/LBC	66 Cartway, Bridgnorth, WV16 4BG	Replacement 2nd floor and 1st floor windows to front of Grade II Listed Building.	24.11.2020	25.12.2020	27.11.2020	Proposal is to replace 2 windows on front elevation which are showing signs of rot with new timber windows of similar appearance but double glazed. Recommend no objection.	Neutral. No objection. However, this is one case where I'd be willing to consider a supportive response to help ensure double glazing is accepted in timber frames.	The proposal will improve the thermal efficiency of the property, as well as making the property better to live in. I fully support the application, which will enhance property.		No objection	15.12.2020	Grant permission - 6.1.2021	

APPENDIX B

20/04582/FUL	6 Ludlow Road, Ludlow Road, Bridgnorth, WV16 5AF	Application under Section 73A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 for the erection of a timber outbuilding (retrospective)	23.11.2020	24.12.2020	23.11.2020	Retrospective from 2016. Straightforward shed application – would be permitted development but was inadvertently located <2m from property boundary. No comments on file as at 30/11/2020. Recommendation: No objection.	Neutral. No objection.	I support this retrospective application, given the error involves an immaterial distance, meaning the shed is located 10.5cm too close to the neighbouring property in one corner.				No objection	15.12.2020	Grant permission - 11.1.21
20/04683/FUL	13 Huntsmans Close, Bridgnorth, WV16 5BE,	Erection of a first floor side extension & single storey rear extension	20.11.2020	21.12.2020	20.11.2020	Property is on a corner location on Huntsman's Close, set back from the road with long gardens of Ludlow Road properties at the side. It sits on sloping ground so the house is multi-levelled. The single storey rear extension replaces an existing conservatory. The side extension would substantially alter the look of the property by raising what's currently a sloping roofline to just below the ridge line of the highest part of the existing building, with slope perpendicular to that of the existing roof. This would not, however, be particularly visible from within Huntsman's Close due to the site orientation. The drawings show a full height window on the side elevation in a room which is marked on the floorplan as a bedroom. Effectively this window would look out onto the gardens of the properties in Ludlow Road, and could cause a privacy issue for the occupants of the property and users of the gardens of 20 & 22 Ludlow Road. A previous application for a side extension was refused under ref BR/APP/FUL/07/0580, details are not available for viewing. Recommendation – recommend refusal, we feel that the full height bedroom window planned for the side elevation would potentially create a privacy issue for users of the gardens of properties in Ludlow Road and for the occupants of the building itself.	Neutral. No objection. See separate email.	A big project, but I cannot see any particular planning issues. I support.	Neutral. Modernising late 70's house, getting rid of conservatories, for better use of space.			Recommend refusal. We feel that the full height bedroom window planned for the side elevation would potentially create a privacy issue for users of the gardens of properties in Ludlow Road and for the occupants of the building itself.	15.12.2020	
20/04623/FUL	9 Old School Mews, High Street, Bridgnorth, WV16 4DP	Erection of an oak framed external balcony to entrance	18.11.2020	19.12.2020	20.11.2020	Entrance is on first floor up a flight of steps. There is an existing canopy over the steps and it is proposed to create a small non-covered balcony opposite the front door. Would be overlooking a parking area. So far as I can tell wouldn't create a privacy issue for occupiers of neighbouring properties. No objection.	Neutral. No objection.	Neutral.				No objection	15.12.2020	Grant permission - 16.12.2020
20/04626/FUL	18 Claremont Drive, Bridgnorth, WV16 4LE	Erection of a single storey side and rear extension	18.11.2020	19.12.2020	20.11.2020	L shaped single storey part side/ part rear extension, pitched roof with some roof lights on rear elevation. No windows on side elevation facing neighbouring property, so no overlooking/ privacy issues. Recommend: no objection.	Neutral. No objection.					No objection	15.12.2020	
20/04578/VAR	Punch Bowl Farm, Ludlow Road, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 5NL	Removal of Condition No.3 (agricultural occupancy condition) imposed on the appeal decision T/APP/5357/A/75/5084/G7 (relating to application B.74/143) and which was subsequently replaced with alternative wording pursuant to appeal decision T/APP/13205/A/97/283503 /P2 (relating to application 97/0042 made for the removal of condition No.3 B.74/143) to be read in conjunction with Planning Inspectorate correspondence dated 24 April 1998	17.11.2020	18.12.2020	20.11.2020	Essentially a legal issue – the occupiers obtained a lawful use certificate, having lived at the farm for a period of time during which the agricultural restriction was not enforced. Removal of the condition is now sought as it is unenforceable. Recommend: no comment.	Neutral. No objection.		Neutral response			No comment	15.12.2020	Grant permission - 2.2.21
20/03781/TCA	24 East Castle Street, Bridgnorth, WV16 4AN	Crown reduction to rebalance up to 1m and crown lift by 8ft (from ground level) on the right hand side 1No. Magnolia within Bridgnorth Conservation Area.	16.11.2020	17.12.2020	17.11.2020	Nearby property so not commenting	Happy to leave to tree conservation officer.		Support Tree Officer			No comment		
20/04557/CPE	Annex, Harpsford Mill Farm, Ludlow Road, Harpswood, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 5NN	Lawful development certificate for use of annex as dwelling (required to be sold separately from Harpsford Mill Farm)	16.11.2020	INFO ONLY	17.11.2020		Noted.		Noted			-		

APPENDIX B

20/03059/FUL	57 St Marys Street	Conversion of outbuilding to form 1No dwelling, conversion of rear machine shop to 2No dwellings and renovation of the main dwelling and associated works (Amended description)	13.11.2020	14 days - 27.11.2020	17.11.2020	Comments submitted separately	This is a resubmission which has reduced the number of dwellings proposed from 5 to 4, still with no parking on the site. I consider this is still overdevelopment, in that if each burgage plot were developed to this level the town centre would be overwhelmed. I still consider that 2 (or possibly 3) dwellings would be appropriate for this site, with on site garage parking with rooms above.	My comments remain as previously stated. Object on the grounds of over development & no parking.	Neutral response, but parking is an issue.			Following consideration of revised drawings, Members wish to reiterate their previous comment of No objection; however consideration should be given to restricting access to on-street parking permits in accordance with the provisions of the residents' On-Street Parking Scheme.	2.12.2020	Grant permission - 26.1.21
20/03840/FUL	18 St Leonards Close, Bridgnorth, WV16 4EJ	Application under Section 73A of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 for the erection of replacement conservatory with atrium to rear elevation. Conversion of detached garage and tool store into ancillary annex (part-retrospective)	9.11.2020	10.12.2020	17.11.2020	No objection subject to satisfactory materials/ finishes	Really two applications in one: (1) Convert a garage and tool shed to a dwelling, with a living kitchen diner, 1 bedroom and shower room. This will deprive the main house of parking space. (2) Replacement of an existing conservatory with a single storey extension which extends further to the property boundary. The second appears to be a retrospective application, with work well underway. There's no information on materials or standard of construction. I've no objection to the second, subject to any objections from neighbours. Parking implications of the garage conversion need to be considered and building control will be checking the construction. We should object pointing out concerns to be checked.	I support subject to agreement of the finishes to be used.	This application is a chance to enhance the current site, and is two separate applications. The garage conversion looks positive but would like to know more about actual materials used but only regarding wall facing onto Cliff Road, the Conservatory part looks to be a modern "switch" adding more light to a shaded area, and enhancing the existing house. Parking space is still maintained, so No objection.				Grant permission - 16.12.2020	
20/04341/FUL	4 Whitbrook Mews, Mill Street, Bridgnorth, WV15 5AG	Application under Section 73A of The Town & Country Planning Act 1990 for the building of a 6.5m long flood defence wall	10.11.2020	11.12.2020	17.11.2020	Proposed to build a flood prevention wall with removeable pedestrian barrier following flooding early in 2020 (flood zone 3). Proposal arises from a professional survey, linked to a grant application. Photographs show the wall is already under construction – retrospective application. Conservation officer comments that potential change in the setting of the Listed Building (47 Mill Street – the buildings in Whitbrook Mews are considered "curtilage listed") is justified by the prevention of fabric deterioration. Recommendation: No objection.	Flood defence wall. No objections. Presumably the Environmental Agency will / have comment on effectiveness and checked during grant funding evaluation.	I support, given it makes common sense. The regulatory authority will ensure the plans are sufficiently robust.	No objections. EA will view foundations depth etc		No objection.	3.12.2020	Grant permission - 18.12.2020	
20/04342/LBC	4 Whitbrook Mews, Mill Street, Bridgnorth, WV15 5AG	Application under Section 73A of The Town & Country Planning Act 1990 for the building of a 6.5m long flood defence wall affecting a Grade II Listed Building	10.11.2020	11.12.2020	17.11.2020	As 20/04341/FUL		As above.	as above No objections		No objection.	3.12.2020	Grant permission - 18.12.2020	
20/04451/LBC	40 River Side, Bridgnorth, WV16 4BH	Installation of replacement front door and 2No sash windows on the front elevation affecting a Grade II Listed Building	9.11.2020	10.12.2020	9.11.2020/16.11.2020	Proposed to replace existing sash windows on front elevation and front door with new purpose made timber joinery (close to like for like for windows, but double glazed, though the replacement door does involve a pattern change). Door is however of a fairly traditional pattern. Recommendation – no objection.	Neutral, no objections. Looks very sensible. I might have hoped to see a proposal for some form of flood defence across the doorway?	The proposed works will enhance the look of the property, as well as crucially providing much greater thermal efficiency, whilst maintaining the heritage of this grade II listed building. I fully support this application.	no objection		No objection.	3.12.2020	Grant permission - 9.12.2020	
20/04499/TCA	31 St Marys Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4DR	To fell 1No Bay Laurel Tree lying within Bridgnorth Conservation Area	30.10.2020	30.11.2020	9.11.2020/16.11.2020	Happy to leave to tree conservation officer.	Happy to leave to tree conservation officer.	No objection.	no objection		No comment			
20/04177/TPO	St Mary Magdalenes Church, East Castle Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire	Crown reduce one Ash tree by 20% protected by the Shropshire Council (St Mary Magdalene's Church, Bridgnorth) TPO 2019	2.11.2020	BTC is applicant	3.11.2020/10.11.2020		Happy to leave to tree conservation officer.	No objection.	no objection		No response		Grant permission - 16.12.2020	

APPENDIX B

20/04320/LBC	5 St Marys Street, Bridgnorth, WV16 4DW	Regularisation of works undertaken to listed building, including the retention of the existing timber conservatory and new timber casement window to rear elevation, replacing existing UPVC window	21.10.2020	21.11.2020	3.11.2020/10.11.2020	The conservatory is approx. 30 years old; the work which it is proposed to undertake now is basically replacing a uPVC window with timber. No consultee or public comments as at 9/11/2020. Recommendation: No objection	No objection	The proposed replacement of a plain UPVC window with a timber window with appropriate glazing bars, which will match an existing upper floor window detail is an improvement. I fully support this application.			No objection	10.11.2020	Grant Permission 3.12.2020
20/04301/TCA	Land South Of, Oldbury Road, Bridgnorth, Shropshire	To cut down 2No Fir Trees within Norton Conservation Area	20.10.2020	20.11.2020	28.10.2020/4.11.2020	No comment	No comment	No comment	No comment		-		
20/04253/TCA	25 Salop Street, Bridgnorth, WV16 5BH	To fell 1No Tree of Heaven within Bridgnorth Conservation Area	16.10.2020	16.11.2020	28.10.2020/4.11.2020	Large but non-native tree which is known to cause property damage and harm to other species. No objection.	No comment	No comment	No comment		-		
20/04202/FUL	27 Cricket Meadow, Bridgnorth, WV16 4LB	Erection of a two storey side extension following removal of existing garage; replacement of existing cladding and windows; formation of bay window to front	14.10.2020	14.11.2020	28.10.2020/4.11.2020	Proposal is a substantial 2 storey side/ rear extension to existing semi-detached house, partly on the footprint of an existing garage. New bay window on front elevation. Possible solar panels and air sourced heat pump to front elevation (the heat pump would be behind fence separating the property from 25 Cricket Meadow). The property has had substantial areas of concrete hard standing to the front and side; the front area has been reduced and gravelled, the side area is proposed to be removed and grassed, 2 existing trees to be removed. Although the extension is substantial, reorganising the external space appears to give enough garden area for residential amenity. Unclear how many parking spaces there will be, but the driveway would still be substantial. No public or consultee comments on file as at 9/11/2020. Recommendation: no objection to the principle of the development, but it would be helpful to clarify the intended vehicle parking arrangements. If it is intended to install an air sourced heat pump it may be appropriate to carry out a noise assessment.	A significant, complex redevelopment that approximately doubles the floor area. Loses the garage. Does not raise roof line. Two storey closer to neighbour. I don't find grounds to object. Point out the scale of development.	Are there likely to be any resultant overlooking issues with the neighbour at 25 ? Ground source heat pumps are fairly noisy, so this needs considering, as to the appropriate location and any necessary sound insulation ? I would like to understand the implications on car parking, given the removal of the garage. This extension seems like over development to me, by this I mean, the resultant house will be totally out of keeping with the character of the neighbourhood, but maybe that doesn't matter ?	Substantial increase in size and modernisation of existing build. Making better use of plot. No objection		No objection	13.11.2020	
20/04093/FUL	Former Filling Station, Quatford, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV15 6QJ	Alterations to approval no 15/01747/FUL for 4no dwellings to provide roof space conversion with dormers	16.10.2020	16.11.2020	19.10.2020/26.10.2020	A previous application to amend the original scheme to include dormer windows (19/04326/FUL) was refused under delegated authority on 10/12/2019. Bridgnorth Town Council raised no objection to that proposal (Planning Committee 7/10/2019). The reasons for refusal were: <i>"The proportions of the proposed dormer window roof extensions proposed in relation to the roof slope would result in a top heavy appearance which, it is considered would represent poor design and would fail to improve the character and quality of the area. The design which seeks to maximise internal space would be contrary to the aspirations of the NPPF to achieve well-designed places, set out in paragraphs 124 and 127, and is considered to be an example of poor design that would be a backward step in relation to the permitted scheme, in terms of a development improving the character and quality of the area (Paragraph 130). Accordingly the additional dormer roof extensions, in comparison with the permitted scheme, would be contrary to Shropshire Core Strategy policy CS6 and Site Allocations and Management of Development Plan policy MD2, and the guidance set out in the National Planning Policy Framework."</i> The previous application featured very large (full width and nearly full height) flat roofed dormers on the East (rear) elevation and smaller pitched roof dormers on the West (front) elevation. The current application eliminates the dormers on the East (rear) elevation and substitutes a single roof light per house on the East roof slope. Dormers are retained on the West (front) elevation but appear smaller. This appears to address the issue with the previous application. I would prefer the dormers to be at the rear rather than the front. Recommendation – no objection.	No objection on planning grounds. The living space on the ground floor seems small for 3 double bedrooms.	No objection	No objection		No objection	10.11.2020	Grant Permission 01.12.2020

APPENDIX B

20/04177/TCA	St Mary Magdalenes Church, East Castle Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire,	Crown reduce one Ash tree by 20% within Bridgnorth Conservation Area	14.10.2020	14.11.2020	19.10.2020/26.10.2020		No comment	No comment	No objection				No comment	
20/03990/FUL	20 Westgate Drive, Bridgnorth, WV16 4QF	Erection of extension to rear of existing garage to form home office	12.10.2020	12.11.2020	13.10.2020/20.10.2020	Small extension to existing garage to form home office. Close to the boundary of the property, but no windows (roof light and glazed door facing towards main building) so no overlooking issues with neighbours. Ample room on site. No objection.	No objection. In an enclosed infill space. Shouldn't intrude on neighbours any more than existing garages.	No objection.	No objection, apart from mild concern re single skin facing brick footings disturbing neighbours boundary at rear of development.			No objection	10.11.2020	Grant permission - 24.11.2020
20/04038/FUL	24 Hollybush Road, Bridgnorth, WV16 4AE	Erection of a single storey rear extension and replacement doors and windows to front elevation	12.10.2020	12.11.2020	13.10.2020/20.10.2020	Conservation area. Timber door and windows to front elevation to be replaced like for like. Small half width extension to rear to be extended to full width with flat roof and rooflight. Plot appears to be adequately sized to accommodate the extension. However, ground slopes steeply and building the extension would possibly require ground reprofiling. No objection but suggest that details of any excavations or retaining structure required to the rear of the property be submitted.	No objection. Impact of extending to boundary appears to have acceptable impact on neighbour. Property boundary seems to extend around rear of neighbour's property.	No objection.	No objection. Property is in area overlooked from above, round floor extension is minimal.			No objection but suggest that details of any excavations or retaining structure required to the rear of the property be submitted.	10.11.2020	Grant permission - 17.11.2020
20/04076/TCA	Bassa Villa, 48 Cartway, Bridgnorth, WV16 4BG	Reduce back all new growth of 1no. Willow tree to the main stumps to allow the tree to regenerate as it has been done previously and general maintenance within Bridgnorth Conservation Area	7.10.2020	7.11.2020	13.10.2020/20.10.2020	No objection. Previous application 17/04384/TCA (described as Prune back 1No Mature Willow Tree by 3m) permitted on 13/10/2017.	No objection	I have no objection, although I am unsure as to whether I need to declare an interest, given I am the owner the said land, which is leased out to the applicant ?	no objection, good arborial husbandry.			No objection	10.11.2020	
20/03823/LBC	28 St Marys Street, Bridgnorth, WV16 4DW	Works to facilitate the replacement of 6No. existing sash windows on the front elevation and to repair sash window frames where necessary affecting a Grade II Listed Building	9.10.2020	9.11.2020	12.10.2020/19.10.2020	No objection.	No objection	I fully support this application, given the huge gain in thermal efficiency which will be achieved due to the proposed type of replacement (double glazed) windows. Also, the life expectancy is much greater compared to the current windows, providing greater sustainability.	A great improvement to one of our most attractive town buildings. Support this application.			No objection	10.11.2020	Grant permission - 12.11.2020

APPENDIX B

20/03978/FUL	Bridgnorth Rugby Club, Rugby Pitch And Pavillion, Bandon Lane, Bridgnorth, Shropshire	Demolition of existing buildings and erection of replacement club house building and function room	9.10.2020	9.11.2020	9.10.2020/16.10.2020	<p>Comments based on information available as at 2/11/2020. Proposal in conjunction with 20/03998/FUL. This proposal to demolish the existing club house and Nissen hut used for canoes, build a new clubhouse (elevated, with canoe storage underneath), lay out a car parking area, and provide a MUGA. Constraints: Green Belt, flood zone 3, local heritage assets, external lighting, noise potential.</p> <p>Sport England has no objection in principle, but have raised issues about toilet provision and disabled access, and suggested the MUGA should not be used for parking. They also suggest that the rugby changing rooms should be incorporated into the clubhouse, and that a business plan is required to show that the proposal is financially sustainable.</p> <p>SC Regulatory services have raised issues about potential noise from the function room and requested a further assessment.</p> <p>SC Conservation have said that the proposal would have some visual impact upon the existing character and appearance of the conservation area and setting of adjacent heritage assets, and this impact needs to be assessed as part of the planning balance under para 196 NPPF. Issues over lighting and suggested conditions for materials.</p> <p>31 supporting public comments, largely based on the improvement in facilities rather than design/ planning considerations. 1 neutral, 1 objection based on the need for an adequate water supply and concerns over traffic on Bandon Lane and access to A442. (No SC Highways comment as yet).</p> <p>The main concern appears to be over flood resilience. This would be an improvement compared with the existing structure as the part of the building used for club house/ entertainment purposes would be at first floor level, whereas the existing clubhouse is at ground level. However, it is presumed that actual usage would be more frequent.</p> <p>Recommendation: support the principle of the development subject to satisfactory noise assessment, consideration of Sport England's concerns, conditions to protect the character of nearby heritage assets, and satisfactory Highways impact.</p>	<p>Open for comment until 9/11 - we should take our time to respond constructively. I would like the following to be addressed: (i) Level of noise insulation in the roof space to be used to be specified. The higher level in the noise assessment would be acceptable. (ii) The club has previously stated that all events will be held inside the clubhouse and residents have been supportive on that basis. There should be an enforceable commitment to this. (iii) We should review transport implications - probably not an issue. (iv) Are we certain that Hazledine's Foundry has been located? What is the archaeological response? Otherwise I am in favour of the applications. If we can satisfy ourselves on the above (and any other issues raised by others) I would vote to support the applications. If we can't, I would expect us to object, listing our concerns but stating that if they were resolved we would be supportive of the applications.</p>	<p>I fully support this application, with the caveat that I am bound to, given my involvement with Bridgnorth Rugby Club, as Chairman.</p>	<p>Support this application as a positive development.</p> <p>The building position is a more pleasing aspect from the main road, replacing aged wooden pavilion, but subject to secure footings on flood plain.</p> <p>The area drains well, suggesting secure sandstone bedrock, and development uses previous pavilion footprint.</p>		<p>We object to the proposed development as submitted however we are not opposed to the general principle of the submission and the desire to create a community asset. We note that the application forms part of a suite of applications, 20/03998/FUL refers. They have a symbiotic relationship and neither should be considered in isolation. Access and egress to the site along a narrow lane needs to be understood fully to ensure safety and ease of movement as does a commitment to maintain the access road to a high standard. The highways department will offer its own comments which we would expect to address widening the road or creating passing places to address the problems envisaged and ensuring that the roadway is light appropriately for the increased volume of traffic. The close proximity of the development site to the river which is known to regularly flood the area needs some refining and we note that the EA have been consulted. The EA will likely have access to more detailed information and we would support their views. While the proposed site does not itself appear to suffer from flooding as much as adjacent sites there is some fear that the cumulative effect of development might increase the risk of flooding here or elsewhere in adjacent areas. The EA will likely have the evidence that identifies the mitigating measures required.</p>	12.11.2020
20/03998/FUL	Land South Of, Bandon Lane, Bridgnorth, Shropshire	Erection of a community sports and changing facility	8.10.2020	8.11.2020	9.10.2020/16.10.2020	<p>Comments based on information available as at 2/11/2020</p> <p>Site adjacent to that for 20/03978/FUL, however this site is not currently built on. It is again within the Green Belt so development would only be permitted under exceptional circumstances. Sports facilities may qualify as a suitable exception.</p> <p>The proposal is for changing rooms, a gym and a sports hall. The majority of the proposed development would be single storey, but the sports hall itself would be 2 storey with a shallow monopitched roof.</p> <p>The site is in flood zone 2 – it is part of the River Severn Flood plain but does not have a history of flooding.</p> <p>Sport England has no objection in principle, but have suggested that the rugby changing rooms should be incorporated into the clubhouse (20/03978/FUL) rather than this proposal, and that a business plan is required to show that the proposals are financially sustainable.</p> <p>SC Conservation have said that the proposal would have some visual impact upon the existing character and appearance of the conservation area and setting of adjacent heritage assets, and this impact needs to be assessed as part of the planning balance under para 196 NPPF. Issues over lighting and suggested conditions for materials.</p> <p>SC regulatory services have commented that the noise report does not appear to have given any consideration to the potential impact on nearby residential dwellings from use of the proposed community sports facility building and requested a revised assessment.</p> <p>27 public comments supporting, mostly concerned with the improvement in facilities rather than planning/ architectural aspects.</p> <p>Recommendation: Supportive of the development in principle, subject to satisfactory noise assessment, consideration of Sport England's concerns, and conditions to protect the character of nearby heritage assets.</p>	<p>As for 03978</p>	<p>I fully support this application, with the caveat that I am bound to, given my involvement with Bridgnorth Rugby Club, as Chairman.</p>	<p>Support this application.</p> <p>Subject to footings being secure and safe. This is a multi faceted development, reduced in size owing to a Severn Trent drain carelessly positioned across the site, which cannot be built over.</p> <p>The community benefits of this building is immeasurable for various clubs established in our town, tidying up a semi derelict area of town.</p>		<p>We object to the proposed development as submitted however we are not opposed to the general principle of the submission and the desire to create a community asset. We note that the application forms part of a suite of applications 20/03998/FUL refers. They have a symbiotic relationship and neither should be considered in isolation. Access and egress to the site along a narrow lane needs to be understood fully to ensure safety and ease of movement as does a commitment to maintain the access road to a high standard. The highways department will offer its own comments which we would expect to address widening the road or creating passing places to address the problems envisaged and ensuring that the roadway is light appropriately for the increased volume of traffic. The close proximity of the development site to the river which is known to regularly flood the area needs some refining and we note that the EA have been consulted. The EA will likely have access to more detailed information and we would support their views.</p>	12.11.2020

APPENDIX B

20/03989/FU L	17 Greenfields Road, Bridgnorth, WV16 4JG.	Erection of single storey extension to the front and rear, conversion of loft to living accommodation to include formation of a rear dormer window; formation of dropped kerb	7.10.2020	7.11.2020	8.10.2020/ 15.10.2020	Detached property. Garage currently protrudes from front elevation – proposed to extend the remainder of the front elevation forward to the same point, with pitched roof. There are precedents for this in the area. Small rear flat roofed extension, no side facing windows – site appears adequate to accommodate this and leave sufficient amenity space. Flat roofed dormer to rear. No objection.	Front extension does not extend beyond building line. No objection	No objection.	no objection (permitted)			No objection	28.10.2020	Grant permission - 11.11.2020
20/03951/FU L	Springvalley Farm, Quatford, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV15 6QG	Change of use of 4No. areas of land to be used on a rotation basis for temporary outdoor recreation learning facility, for not more than 5 years, including canvas shelter, 6-8 temporary pitches, and moveable compost WC/ wash unit	6.10.2020	6.11.2020	8.10.2020/ 15.10.2020	Fields proposed to be used for outdoor experiences, focussing on sustainability. Said to be low grade agricultural land. The proposal will involve pitching tents etc. - sites will be rotated to avoid excessive wear. An ecological appraisal has been submitted. The area is Green Belt. The proposal appears to be "Sustainable rural tourism and leisure and recreation proposals which require a countryside location", which would be permitted in countryside locations under Policy CSS. No objection.	Application from Dudmaston Hall. Is it from the National Trust or from the (tenants of the) farm? The application should make this clear. However, I've no objection to what is proposed.	The application has been made by the tenants of Dudmaston Hall, whom are friends of mine (Mrs Alfreda & Mr Mark Hamilton-Russell). The parents of Mark Hamilton-Russell live at Spring Valley Farm. I support the application, without any form of objection.	positive application & Noobjection			While the proposed site does not itself appear to suffer from flooding as much as adjacent sites there is some fear that the cumulative effect of development might increase the risk of flooding here or exacerbate it in adjacent areas. The EA will likely have the evidence that identifies the mitigating measures required.		Grant permission - 22.12.2020
20/03743/LB C	The Old Bakehouse, East Castle Street, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV16 4AL	Application under 73A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 for the conversion of redundant building to 1No dwelling and associated works affecting a Grade II Listed Building	24.9.2020	25.10.2020	24.9.2020/ 1.10.2020	No objection	No objection	No objection.	Neutral response. I know this area, and had been ripe for development for some time. Is entrance wide enough to parking? I think maybe not as ordinal entry may have been for a hd carriage. This is another development putting strain on parking			No objection	15.10.2020	Grant permission - 26.10.2020
20/03702/FU L	18 Kidderminster Road, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV15 6BX	Erection of single storey extension to rear	22.9.2020	23.10.2020	23.9.2020/ 29.9.2020	No objection	(Late) No objection	No objection.	Neutral response. This adds to, and brings house up to date with an enhanced living space.		CWa - I cannot see any reason to object or decline this application.	No objection	8.10.2020	
20/03521/FU L	12 West Castle Street, Bridgnorth, WV16 4AB	Conversion of office building into 2No. dwellings	14.9.2020	15.10.2020	16.9.2020 / 23.9.2020	no objection to the principle of conversion, subject to satisfactory safeguards for preservation of the internal features of the Listed Building	Responded by email	I agree with DC's comment.	Neutral response			No objection to the principle of conversion, subject to satisfactory safeguards for preservation of the internal features of the Listed Building	8.10.2020	Grant permission - 18.11.2020
20/03522/LB C	12 West Castle Street, Bridgnorth, WV16 4AB	Conversion of office building into 2No. dwellings affecting a Grade II Listed Building	14.9.2020	15.10.2020	16.9.2020 / 23.9.2020	no objection to the principle of conversion, subject to satisfactory safeguards for preservation of the internal features of the Listed Building	Responded by email	I agree with DC's comment.	No objection			No objection to the principle of conversion, subject to satisfactory safeguards for preservation of the internal features of the Listed Building	8.10.2020	Grant permission - 18.11.2020
20/03677/FU L	47 Birchlands, Bridgnorth, Shropshire, WV15 5DR	Change of use of part of domestic garage into a dog grooming salon and associated alterations	14.9.2020	15.10.2020	21.9.2020/ 28.9.2020	I don't really feel that we can provide meaningful comments unless we know what alterations are proposed and whether there are likely to be vehicle movements/ customer parking		Agree that we need to understand the likely vehicular movements.	Neutral response, good use of spare space as long as no objections from neighbours, but daytime use only?			Neutral comment due to lack of detail regarding alterations to the property and potential impact on neighbouring properties.	8.10.2020	Grant permission - 5.11.2020